

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,198		07/22/2003	Richard King Teague	1370-5 DIV	7737
23869	7590	07/01/2005		EXAM	INER
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE				TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN	
SYOSSET, NY 11791				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				1655	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,198	TEAGUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Christopher R. Tate	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	·	
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON afe, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	July 2003	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
·		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement	
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	701 election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	on priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J P M 30 0.0.0. 3	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr		
application from the International Bure		Ç
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	received.
144 no hou ou 4(n)		
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
) I/V Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date
		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-19 are presented for examination on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abbott et al. (US 6,007,023), in view of Medina et al. (Cereal Chem., 1990).

A method of obtaining/providing an extract containing at least one simmonds in therein via contacting at least a portion of a jojoba plant with an organic solvent; heating the solvent/plant portion mixture, separating the organic solvent from the insoluble plant portion, concentrating the organic solvent by applying heat, and removing further solvent therefrom is claimed.

Abbott et al. teach a method of obtaining a simmondsin-containing concentrate from the jojoba plant via extracting a dried water extract from defatted jojoba meal (please note that the dried water extract reads upon a portion of a jojoba plant) using aqueous ethanol and/or absolute ethanol under stirring (agitation) conditions, whereby the temperature of the ethanolic extraction can be higher (e.g., 36°C) than ambient temperature (reads upon heating the solvent/plant portion mixture); separating the insoluble portion (e.g., by filtration, centrifugation, etc); and concentrating/removing the solvent therefrom using a variety of conventional techniques

Art Unit: 1654

including distillation, vacuum oven drying, spray-drying, or a combination thereof; (see, e.g., col 3, line 31 - col 6, line 28). Abbott et al. further teach that the residue remaining after the first ethanolic/drying steps may alternatively be exposed to additional ethanolic solvents (which reasonably reads upon instant claim 12 with respect to combining the solvent/plant mixture with a suitable carrier) - see, e.g., col 5, lines 31-49. In addition, Abbott et al. teach preparing the initial defatted jojoba meal using conventional techniques such as extracting the jojoba meal with hexane. However, Abbott et al. do not expressly teach what conventional defatting techniques are employed.

Medina et al. teach preparing defatted jojoba meal via extracting the meal with hexane in a Soxhlet apparatus (please note that the extraction temperature therein is well recognized in the art to inherently be at elevated vapor distillation temperatures and, thus, reads upon heating the jojoba meal/hexane mixture) then drying to remove the solvent therefrom (see, e.g., page 476 first paragraph under Materials and Methods section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to obtain a simmondsin-containing concentrate from jojoba plant material such as from a dried water extract thereof via contacting the dried water extract with an ethanolic solvent at elevated temperatures, separating the insoluble portion therefrom, and concentrating the solvent mixture via heating (e.g., distillation or oven drying) followed by spray-drying, based upon the beneficial teachings provided by Abbott et al., as discussed above; as well as providing other suitable working conditions within such preparatory methods, as disclosed therein. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare an initial defatted dried (concentrated) extract from jojoba meal via heating (e.g., in a soxhlet apparatus) the jojoba

Art Unit: 1654

meal in a solvent such as hexane, separating the insoluble material from a resulting jojoba

meal/solvent mixture, and concentrating the mixture (or the subsequent dried water extract

thereof) by employing conventional drying techniques (e.g., heating then spray-drying) to form a

dried concentrate thereof based upon the beneficial teachings provided by Abbott et al. and

Medina et al., as discussed above. The result-effective adjustment of particular conventional

working conditions (e.g., determining appropriate temperature, pressure, and/or drying

parameters) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is

well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al.

(US 6,620,442).

Tang et al. teach methods of preparing simmondsin-containing concentrates from the

jojoba plant via extracting defatted or partially defatted jojoba meal using a solvent such as one

or more concentrations of aqueous ethanol under agitation conditions, whereby the temperature

of the solvent extraction can be up to 100°C (thus, reads upon heating the solvent/plant portion

mixture); separating the insoluble portion therefrom (e.g., by filtration, centrifugation, etc), as

well as optionally combining the separated solvent mixture with a carbonaceous carrier, and

concentrating the solvent mixture using a variety of conventional techniques including

evaporation, distillation, vacuum oven drying, spray-drying, etc (see, e.g., col 3, line 7 - col 6,

line 20).

Art Unit: 1654

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to obtain a simmondsin-containing concentrate from jojoba plant material such as defatted or partially defatted jojoba meal via contacting the meal with an ethanolic solvent at elevated temperatures, separating the insoluble portion therefrom, and concentrating the solvent mixture via heating (e.g., distillation or oven drying), as well as optionally combining the separated solvent mixture with a carbonaceous carrier, followed by spray-drying, based upon the beneficial teachings provided by Tang et al., as discussed above. The result-effective adjustment of particular conventional working conditions (e.g., determining appropriate temperature, pressure and/or drying parameters) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Thus, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious over the reference, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

## Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (571) 272-0970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 6:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher R. Tate Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654 Page 6